G. R. S. 59]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

1906-07
(Muzrai)

File No. [illegible]

Serial Nos.

Papers Filed.

*Month*__________

SUBJECT.

Dispute between Tengalai & Vadagalai Sri Vaishnavas in regard to the Conduct of Gandhoodi Utsavam to the Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Sveta Vara [illegible] temple in Mysore [illegible]

PREVIOUS REFERENCES.

LATER REFERENCES.

G.R.S.11]

190 8-9

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Muzrai)

NOTES.

File No. 17-97

FILE HEADING.

Gandhoodi utsavam to the Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Swetavaraswamy temple in Mysore Fort.

From

Tirumalachar and others of Mysore

No. Dated Received 16-11-1908

Diary No. 188 Enclos. Spare Copies Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Pray for early orders re certain irregularities during the Gandhoodi utsavam to the Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Swetavarahaswamy Temple in Mysore Fort.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

This case relates to the dispute between the Tengales and Vadagales with regard to the use of the Tridandam of Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple during the Gandhoodi utsavam on Jeer Tirunakshatram day in the

NOTES—First sheet

GPB—30,000—3-1-07

Serial No.

Sri Swetavaraha Swamy temple in mysore fort.

On the 25th July 1906, Yoga Narasimha Achar and a number of other Tengale Sri-Vaishnavas of mysore petitioned this office representing that it is manul to use the golden Tridanda (with Tengale mark engrossed thereon), "Kashaya" and other articles belonging to Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple during the Gandahoodi utsavam of the Jeer Vigraha in Sri Swetavarahaswamy temple, that ~~yajnam~~ Yajaman Lakshminarasimhachar, Gumastha of the Parakala mutt obstructed the utsavam of the year 1905 which came off on the 4th November of that year, with the result that the golden Tridandum was not used, that even the brass Tridandum which was in daily use in Sri Swetavarahaswamy temple was found missing, and that the late Dharmadarsi Pandita Ratnam Kasturi Ragachar who was deputed to investigate the matter, did not conduct an impartial enquiry being a Vadagale interested in the subject matter of the dispute. They prayed that they might be permitted to use as before the golden Tridandam and other articles,

x Shp 47)

Serial No.

to use the Tridandam and other articles of Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple during the Gandhoodi utsavam in question, is without any foundation.

The Palace officer states in his decision that he heard the parties concerned, examined the documents bearing on the case, and observed the manual obtaining in other temples and has concurred in the views of the Dharmadarsis in regard to the use of the golden Tridandam in question.

The Palace officer was thereupon written to to submit in original for reference the Katcha account and Shaha Amal Registers and other ~~[illegible]~~ orders together with the records of enquiry held by him.

The Palace officer submitted some records; but on examination it was found that some other documents were wanting. That officer was therefore requested to send up these papers also and his reply is awaited.

The petitioners now urge for a speedy disposal of the case.

Submitted for orders

AV 24/11/08

Ub 25/11/08

Serial No.

No. 971

During the pendency of this case the jurisdiction of Govt. over these Muzrai Institutions has been taken away. The petitioners are therefore to be informed that Govt. cannot do anything in the matter and that they may appeal to His Highness the Maharaja against the orders of the Palace Officer.

Submitted to Second Councillor

Ahobalamaiya

26.11.08

The whole file including the present petition may be transferred to the Palace Officer for disposal. The Petrs may be informed accordingly.

[so far as I can see there is no appeal against the orders of the Palace Officer]

BMK
27/11/08

Put up draft

[illegible] 11.08

Dfts submitted
AY
28/11/08

bl 28/11/08

Issue

[illegible]

The endorsement to petn. is re-submitted for approval

Issue

[illegible]

Note—Contn. sheet.

1/12/08

bl 1/12/08

and Revenue
Secretariat.

File No. of 190

	Serial No.	

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—50,000—13-5 08

Muz. 19]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 --190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Officer in charge of
the Palace Estts. Mysore.

Dated 9th
Despatched August 1906
Received

General No. 939
Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No. one

Has the honor to forward herewith for favor of a detailed report petition regd. no. 467 of 26th July 1906 from M. S. Tirumala char and other Tengale residents of Mysore bringing to notice

GPB—3 000—21 7 06

Certain alleged irregularities in the conduct of the Utsavams of Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Sweta-Varahaswamy temple in Mysore. ~~owing to the interference of one Yagyam Laxminarasimha Char.~~

By order.

Dft 9/8/06

Secretary.

940
Intimate to the petrs.

COURT FEE
TWO RUPEES

from MYSORE GOVERNMENT
No: 73
D.O.

DPE
5/7/06

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 4/11/1905 [illegible]

[illegible] 5 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 13/11/1905 [illegible]

19/12/05 — 3/1/06 — 12/5/06 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 26/11/1895 [illegible] 1895-96-97- [illegible] 98 [illegible] ౧

[illegible] ౧

[illegible] 15/9/05 [illegible]

[illegible] [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 22/10/95 [illegible] 1514 [illegible]

[illegible] 30/12/95 [illegible] 57 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]
35.

2 . 7 . 1906

1 [illegible] 8 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6
7 [illegible]

12

13

14

16 Alasingarachar

17

18 M. S. Tirumalachar

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

నం/555 [illegible] 21·7-06

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

20/7/06
[illegible]

[illegible]

467

AV

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

25·7·1906

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

fuz]

Seen

2001 Ev 30

12/10

OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE,

MUZRAI DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.

The accompanying Petitions Nos 1747 and 1359 dated 29.9 and 3.9 06 & 29-10-1906 respectively received at this Office from M. A. Narayana Iy and others of Mysore, praying for necessary arrangements to conduct smoothly the years utsavams of geer Vigraha in the Sri Swetavarahaswami temple in Mysore Fort are ~~is~~ forwarded, t~~ogether with its~~ e~~nclosures~~, to the Palace officer, Mysore, in continuation of this office O. O. M. No 939 of 9th Aug. 06, with a request that the report already called for be submitted at an early date

By Order,

11/10/06

Secretary,
Muzrai Department.

BANGALORE,
Oct 1906.

2002

Endt. to Petrs 8/10/06

1747

30/9/06

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 4/11/05 [illegible] 8-9 [illegible] 25/7/06 [illegible] 9/8/06 [illegible] 940 [illegible]

[illegible] 3/9/06 [illegible] 25/10/06 [illegible]

[illegible] 25/10/06 [illegible]

. 9 . 1906

1 [illegible]

2 कृष्णमचारि:

3 [illegible]

4 [illegible]

5 Krishna machar

6 [illegible]

7 [illegible]

8 [illegible]

9 [illegible]

10 [illegible]

11 [illegible]

12 [illegible]

13 [illegible]

14 [illegible]

15 [illegible]

16 [illegible]

17 [illegible]

18 [illegible]

19 [illegible]

20 [illegible]

[illegible]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
135
MUZRAI SECRETARIAT

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 4/11/05 [illegible] 8-9 [illegible] 467 [illegible] 2.7.06 / 25 [illegible] 9.8.06 [illegible] 940 [illegible]

[illegible] 12.10.06 [illegible] 25.10.06 [illegible]

3.9.1906

1 [illegible] 254 [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]
9 [illegible]
10 [illegible]
11 [illegible]
12 [illegible]
13 [illegible]


14
15
16
17
18

1747

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
1905 [illegible]
[illegible] 5 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 3 [illegible]
[illegible] 3½ [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible] 25 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

9 . 10 . 1906

1 [illegible] (254 [illegible])
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]
8 [illegible]

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

No: 61 of 06-07.
Enclo. 6

The Palace Office,
Mysore, 23rd October 1906.

2097

From,

B. Ramakrishna Rao, Esq.,
Officer in charge of the Palace Estts.

To,

L. Anantasami Rao, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to the Govt.,
Muzroi Department,
Bangalore.

Refers
Ps
25/10/06
Ar
26/10/06
Subwts-
AV
30/10/06

No: 939 dated 9th August 1906. Enclosure one returned.
No: 3001/3 dated 12th October 1906. Encls. 3 returned.

Sir,

With reference to your communications noted in the margin forwarding petitions from M.S.Tirumala Char and other Tengale Sri Vaishnava Brahmin residents of Mysore alleging certain irregularities in the Gandhoodi Utsavam of the Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Svetha Varahasvami temple in Mysore in 1905, I have the honor to state that a petition dated 13th November 1905 was received by me from some of the Tengale Brahmins on the same subject. I at once arranged to have all available references about the matter collected from the temples of Sri Varaha-Svami, Sri Prasanna Krishnasvami and the Palace Office, &c., and requested Dharmadarsis Pandita Ratnam Kasturi Rangachar and Pandit Kutti Narasimha Sastri to enquire into the matter. Their reply in original is enclosed for favor of perusal and return when no longer required .

I have since myself gone into the whole matter as far as now in detail and heard the parties concerned, Pandit Kutti Sastri being with me in the Office during my enquiry; and I beg now submit herewith a copy of my Decision so far as the point involved concerned at present.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

ARamakrishnaRow

Officer in charge of the
Palace Establishments.

23/10/06

B.M.H.

ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ವೇತವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ ಮತ್ತು ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನ - ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೆಗಾರರಿಗೆ ಹುಕುಂ

1. ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ವೇತವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಿನಿಂದ [illegible] ನಡೆದ ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಗಂಧಪ್ರತಿ ಉತ್ಸವಾದಿಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು [illegible] ಶ್ರೀ ವೈಷ್ಣವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಅರ್ಜಿ ಮತ್ತು ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ನಡವಳಿಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ದೊರಕಿರುವ ದಾಖಲೆಪತ್ರಗಳ ನೋಡಲಾಯಿತು.

2. ಶ್ರೀ ಶ್ವೇತವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ತಿರುನಕ್ಷತ್ರ [illegible] ಶ್ರೀ ವೈಷ್ಣವರು ಹಿಂದಿನಿಂದ ನಡೆಸಿದ ಗಂಧಪ್ರತಿ ಉತ್ಸವದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಿಂದ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವನ್ನು ತಂದು [illegible] ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಉತ್ಸವ ವಿಗ್ರಹಕ್ಕೆ ಧರಿಸ [illegible] - ಇದನ್ನು [illegible] ಶ್ರೀ ವೈಷ್ಣವರ ಸರ್ವ [illegible] ಆಕ್ಷೇಪ ಮಾಡಿದರೆಂದು - [illegible] ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೆಗಾರ [illegible] ಇದು [illegible] ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ತ್ರಿದಂಡ [illegible] ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಕ್ಕೆ ತಂದು [illegible] ತ್ರಿದಂಡ ಇಲ್ಲದೆಯೇ ಉತ್ಸವ ನಡೆದುಕೊಂಡು ಬಂದಿದೆ.

3. [illegible] ರಾದ ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ತಿರುನಾರಾಯಣ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ [illegible] ಶ್ವೇತವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಉತ್ಸವ ವಿಗ್ರಹಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜೀಯ್ಯರಿಗಿರುವ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವನ್ನು ತಂದು ಧರಿಸುವ [illegible] ತಂದದ್ದನ್ನು ಆಕ್ಷೇಪಿಸಿದ್ದರಿಂದ [illegible] ಫಿರ್ಯಾದು ಮಾಡಿದರು. ಇವರ ಫಿರ್ಯಾದಿ [illegible] ಆಫೀಸ್ ಮತ್ತು ಉಭಯ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ರೆಕಾರ್ಡನ್ನು [illegible] ದಾಖಲೆಯನ್ನೆಲ್ಲಾ ನೋಡಿ - ಧರ್ಮದರ್ಶಿಗಳಾದ [illegible] ಮತ್ತು [illegible] ಬರೆಸಿ ಇದ್ದು. [illegible] ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳಿಗೂ [illegible] ಬರೆಸಿ ಇದ್ದು. [illegible] ಇದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ [illegible] ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀ [illegible] ಇತ್ತೀಚೆಗೆ ತಿರುನಾರಾಯಣ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾ

ಮೊದಲಾದ ತೆಂಗಲೆ ಜನಗಳವರನ್ನು ಹಾಜರುಪಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಂಡು ನ್ಯಾಯಾಬರು ಬಲ ವಿಚಾರಿಸಿದ್ದಲ್ಲದೇ ಈ ತ್ರಿದಂಡದ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನರಿಯಲು ಉಭಯಪಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರ ಪಂಡಿತರನ್ನೂ ಕಸ್ತೂರಿ ರಂಗಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಪಂಡಿತ ಕುಪ್ಪ ನರಸಿಂಹಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿಗಳು ಇವರನ್ನು ಕರೆದು ಕ್ಕೊಂಡು ಕೋಟೆಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಶ್ವೇತವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ-ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನ ಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ - ಶ್ರೀಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀರಮಣ ಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿನ ಸ್ಥಿತಿಯನ್ನು ಅಜಮಾಯಿಷಿ ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ—.

4. ಶ್ರೀವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ 1874 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಲಾಗಾಯತು 1880 ನೇ ಇಸವಿವರೆಗೂ ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೆ ಕೆಲಸ ನೋಡಿ ಹಾಲಿ ಆಫೀಸಿನ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಶಿರಸ್ತೆ ~~[illegible]~~ ಕೆಲಸದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ವೆಂಕಟರಮಣಯ್ಯನವರು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೈಫಿಯತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ತಮಗೆ ಜ್ಞಾಪಕ ಬಂದಾಗ ಜೀಯ್ಯರಿಗೆ ತ್ರಿದಂಡ ಬರಲಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ - ಹಾಲಿ ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೆಗಾರರಾಗಿರುವರುಗಳೂ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದೂ ಸಮೂದಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ತೆಂಗಲೆಯವರು ತ್ರಿದಂಡವಿತ್ತೆಂದೂ - ಹೋದವರುಷ ಇದು ಸ್ಥರವಿಲೆಯಾಯಿತೆಂದೂ ಹೇಳುತ್ತಾರೆ. ತ್ರಿದಂಡವಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ತ್ರಿದಂಡ ಪೇಟಿಕೆ ವರ್ಷೋವರ್ಷ ತರುತ್ತಿದ್ದರೆಂದು ಕೇಳಿದರೆ ಅದಕ್ಕೆ ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜೀಯ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಇದ್ದ ತ್ರಿದಂಡ ಹೋರುದಪ್ಪ ಅದಕ್ಕಿಂತಲೂ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿಯ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜೀಯ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಇರುವ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವು ಚಿಕ್ಕದು ಮುಲಾಯಿಸದಾಗಿರುವ ಕಾರಣ ಇದನ್ನ ತರುತ್ತಿದ್ದವೆಂದು ನಮ್ಮಲ್ಲರುಬರುಬು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಹಿಂದಕ್ಕೆ 1895 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೆಗಾರರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಪ್ಪನವರೂ ಸಹ ಅನಂತರ ಕೆಲವು ಕಾಲ ಅಕ್ಟಿಂಗು ಸ್ಥಾನ ಪಾರುಪತ್ತೆಗಾರ್ ವೆಂಕಟಕೃಷ್ಣಯ್ಯನವರೂ ಸಹಾ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಿಂದ ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜೀಯ್ಯರಿಗೋಸ್ಕರ ವರ್ಷಕ್ಕೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸಾಮಾನು ಕೊಡುವಾತಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿಂದಾಗ್ ಹೇಳುವದರಲ್ಲಿ ಚಿಕ್ಕ ಇರುವ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವನ್ನು ಸಮೂದಿಸುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದರೆ ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕ್ಯಾಷ್ ಬುಕ್ಕಿನ ಸಾಧಕಗಳಾವುವಿಲ್ಲ. ಈಗ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವ ಸದರಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕ್ಯಾಷ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೋಜುವಾರಿ ಬುಕ್ಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಈ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಿಂದ ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾ

ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಉತ್ಸವಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟ ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳೆಂದು ಬರೆದಿರುವರು 1895 ರಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ಯಲ್ಲಿ [illegible]; [illegible] ಉತ್ಸವ ನಡೆದ ತಾರೀಖು ಅಂದರೆ ಅಕ್ಟೋಬರ್ 26 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಅಭಿಷೇಕದ ಅನಂತರ [illegible] 27 - 28 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖುಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಬರೆದಿರುವುದರ [illegible]. [illegible] 1896 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ [illegible] 1897 ನೇ ಇಸವಿಗಳ [illegible] ಪ್ರಕಾರ [illegible] [illegible] ಅ[illegible] ಬರೆಯುತ್ತ [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] ಯಿಂದ ಬರೆದ [illegible] [illegible] ಸಾದ್ರ ಕಾರ್ಯ [illegible] [illegible] ನವದಿಲ್ಲ.

5. ಸನ್ 1895 ರ [illegible] ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಜೀಯ್ಯರ ಉತ್ಸವ ಇದುವರೆಗೂ [illegible] ನಡೆಯುತ್ತಾ ಬಂದಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಉಭಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಯವರು [illegible] ಒಪ್ಪಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. [illegible] ಜೀಯ್ಯರು ಸನ್ಯಾಸಾಶ್ರಮ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಿದವರಾದ ಕಾರಣ ತ್ರಿದಂಡಾದಿ [illegible] ಸ್ವತಂತ್ರ [illegible] ಸಂಶಯವಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ತ್ರಿದಂಡಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] - [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] ವರದಿ ಯಾರೂ [illegible] ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ ನಮ್ಮ [illegible] ಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀ ವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ - ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ - ಶ್ರೀ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀರಮಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಈ ಮೂರು ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ ಪೈಕಿ ಶ್ರೀ ಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನವೊಂದನ್ನುಳಿದು ಇನ್ನುಳಿದ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿಯೂ ಜೀಯ್ಯರಿಗೆ ತ್ರಿದಂಡಕ್ಕೆ ಮಂತ್ರಾಲಂಕಾರ [illegible] ರುವದಿಲ್ಲ. ಇದಲ್ಲದೆ ಶ್ರೀ [illegible] ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿಯೂ [illegible] ಉತ್ಸವ [illegible] ತ್ರಿದಂಡಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಡಿದಾಗ ಯಾತ್ರೆ [illegible]ಗಾರರಿಗೂ ಜೀಯರುಗಳಿಗೂ ಇರುವ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವು ಲಾಂಛನ ರಚಿತವಾದ್ದಾಗಿದೆ -

6. ಇತರ [illegible] ಪರಶೀಲಿಸಿ ನೋಡುವದರಲ್ಲಿ ಲಾಂಛನವಿರುವ ಶ್ರೀಪ್ರಸನ್ನಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ತ್ರಿದಂಡವನ್ನು ಶ್ರೀವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ಜೀಯರುಗಳು ಸ್ವವಶಕ್ಕೆ ಕೊಡುವದಕ್ಕೆ ಆಗಲಾರದು.

7 ಇತಃಪರ ಜೀಯರಿಗೆ [illegible] ಏನಾದರೂ ಮಾಡಿಸಲ್ಪಡತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಅದು ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರಿಂದ ಸಾದರಾಗಿರುವ 1894 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ನವಂಬರ್ 8 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ಹುಕುಂಗೆ ಅನುಗುಣವಾದ್ದಾಗಿರಬೇಕು.

8 ಮೇಲೆ ನಮೂದಾದ ಯಜ್ಞಂ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಿನರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರ್ಯರು ಶ್ರೀವರಾಹಸ್ವಾಮಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರೀ ನೌಕರರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಇವರು ಈ ಮಾಹೆ 15 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿನ ನನ್ನ ರೂಬರೂ ಬರಾಯಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವ ಕೈಫಿಯತ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ತ್ರಿದಂಡ ಧರಿಸಿದ್ದನ್ನು ನೋಡಿ ತಾವು ತಕರಾರು [illegible] [illegible] ಅದಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] ಅಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರ [illegible] ಬಯಾನ್ ಮಾಡುತ್ತಾರೆ. ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ ನೌಕರರಾಗಿ ತಾವು [illegible] ಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] ವಹಿಸಿದ್ದ ಪರಿಯಾಗಿ ಕಂಡುಬರುವದಿಲ್ಲ. [illegible] ಈ ಅಂಶವನ್ನು ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಹುಕುಂ ಪಡೆಯಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. [illegible] ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ ಗೊತ್ತುಮಾಡದೆ [illegible] ನೋಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಬೇಕಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಹಾಗೆ ನೋಡಿದ್ದರೆ ಜೀಯರವರಿಗೆ ತಪ್ಪು [illegible] ರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅರಮನೆ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ನೌಕರರು [illegible] ಸರಕಾರಕ್ಕೆ [illegible] ತಕರಾರು [illegible] ಅವಕಾಶ ಕೊಡದಂತೆ ನೋಡತಕ್ಕದ್ದಿರಬೇಕು. ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನಗಳ [illegible] ಇವರುಗಳು ಮಾಡತಕ್ಕ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ [illegible] ಹುಕುಮನ್ನು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಜಾರಿಮಾಡಬೇಕು.

(Signed) B. Ramakrishna Rao

OFFICE
23-11-1906.

Compd
DHS

B Ramakrishna Rao

No. 2815 D/26. xi. 06

17-97

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

27/11/06

Secretary

Manipuri Dept.

Dbl-

24/11/06

Copy

is forwarded to the Officer in Charge of the Palace Establishments Mysore, for information with reference to his letter no 61 dated the 23rd October 1906.

By order

Dft.

Secretary

Ds
24/11/06

MENT
MU:
2306.07
7 VI 06

7/11/06

C
16/11/06

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 25/7/1906 [illegible] 9.8 06 [illegible] 9140 [illegible] 12.10.06 [illegible] 2002 [illegible]?

[illegible] 23.10.06 [illegible]

(1) [illegible] 6.11.05 [illegible] 15.10.06 [illegible]

(2) [illegible] 25.10.06 [illegible] 95 [illegible]

17 [illegible]

[illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible] 14 [illegible] —

(3) [illegible] 14 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

5.11.1906

[illegible]

Kristna aingar

M. G. Venkatachar

S. T. Ananthaiyengar

P. Ranga Iyengar

H. V. Sreenivasa Iyengar

[illegible]

B. Mule Ramanuja Iyengar

[illegible]

[illegible] 26.7.06 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] 12/10/06 [illegible] 2002 [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

2.12.06

[illegible]
254 [illegible]
[illegible]

Refer
4/12/06

C
2/12/06

Muz. 21]

ಸಂ. 2815 Mu 1.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಶ್ರೀಮನ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗವರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಇಲಾಖೆಯಿಂದಾ

[illegible] ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು [illegible] ಸ್ವಾಮಿಯವರ ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ [illegible]
[illegible] ನರಸಿಂಹಾಚಾರ್ [illegible] ರಿಗೆ —

ಶ್ರೀ [illegible] ದೇವಸ್ಥಾನದ [illegible]
[illegible] ಅರ್ಜಿ [illegible]

ನೀವು ಹುಜೂರಿಗೆ ಜಾಹಿರ್ಮಾಡಿಕೊಂಡ 11 ಮೊಹೆ 5 ನೇ
ಮರ್ಕಂ 2306 ನೇ ನಂಬರ್ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಅರ್ಜಿ ಪರಾಂಬರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರರು [illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] ರುತ್ತೆ —

ತಾ 24-11-06
ಬೆಂಗ್ಳೂರು —
Draft

By Order
Secretary to Govt
Muzrai Dept

3879

12/07

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1905 [illegible] 25.7.06 [illegible] 2002/12.10.06 [illegible] 2 12 06 [illegible]

[illegible]

2.1907

[illegible] 254 [illegible]

[illegible]

Dft- for approval

Muz. 1 A]

190

From

To

The Palace Officer,
Mysore.

Dated

Despatched 6-3-07

Received

General No [illegible]
No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his
letter no. 61 dated 23rd
October 1906 reporting on
the petitions from M. S.
Tirumala Char and other
Tengale Srivaishnava —
Brahmin residents of
Mysore alleging certain
irregularities in the —
Gandhoodi Utsavam —

and in continuation of this
office no. Dy.

of the Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Svetha Varaha-swamy temple in Mysore, has the honor to observe that the documents etc. referred to in the Palace Officers decision ~~therein~~ have not ~~yet~~ been received. The Palace Officer is requested to submit (in original) for reference the Katcha, Account, and Shaha Amal Books and other orders etc. referred to in the Dharmadarsi's report received under cover of the letter under advertance, and also the records of enquiry held by him. ~~together with an English memo giving a succinct account of the facts of the case.~~

~~2) The enclosures are herewith returned.~~

By Order,
Secretary.

Dftd 1/3/07

Is.
7/2/07

Encl. Nothing

No 4557 D/18.3.07

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

1906 [illegible]

2306 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

By order

11/3/7

Secretary.

9/3/07

Muz. 1 A]

MUZRAI SECRETARIAT.

190 —190

From

L. ANANTASAMI RAO, Esq., B. A.,
Secretary to the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore,
Muzrai Department.

To

The Palace Officer,
Mysore.

Dated

Despatched [illegible]

Received

General No. [illegible]

Muzrai F. No.

Enclosure No.

This office no 4336 dated 6th march 1904 requesting the submission of certain records regarding some alleged irregularities in the Gandhaodi utsavam of the geen Vigraha in the Sri: Svetavarahaswamy temple: in mysore 7 (

Has the [illegible]

GPB—5,000—21-7 06

forward herewith petition regd. no 104 from A Sriramiengar and other Tengale Srivaishnavas on the subject and to request that the submission of the records & the report already called for may kindly be expedited.

By order

15/4/07

Secretary.

11/9/07

Return to the petrs.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
1695

190[illegible]

From
R. Ramak[illegible] Row, [illegible],
Officer [illegible]
[illegible] Establ[illegible],
[illegible]

To
[illegible]

Dated 6th } October 1907
Despatched 10th }
Received
General No. 51
No.

Enclosure No.
File of papers as per Faint
16 Shaha Amal Books sent separately in 3 Regd Parcels

Earl
11/10/07

Dft Submtd

2. Bound books have been kept in the office.
12/10/07

No. 330 [illegible] -07.
" 431 " [illegible].

[illegible] nection with the J[illegible] ud[illegible]

[illegible] S[illegible] the [illegible]

[illegible]

a). File of papers as per [illegible].

b). Shaha A[illegible] Books [illegible]

Sir,

[illegible]

R. Ramakrishna Row,

[illegible]

6/10/07

Muz. 1]

190

From

17-97

13-12-07

To

The Palace officer
Mysore

Dated

Despatched 17 12.07

Received

General No. 2501
No.

Enclosure No.

Adverting to his letter no 51 dated 6th October 1907 reporting on the dispute between Tengales and Vadagalais in regard to the conduct of Jeer "Gandhapudi" at Saram in the Sri Swetavarahaswamy temple at Mysore, has the honor to state that, on an examination of

GPC—3000- 1990

- Shaha Amal Registers and other documents received ~~on~~ in connection with the case under cover of his letter under advertence, the marginally-noted reports etc. referred to in the Vernacular correspondence on the subject ~~were~~ are not forthcoming.

As these documents also are required in original for reference, the Palace Officer is requested to submit them at an early date together with his original decision dated 23rd October 1906.

2) The Shaha Amal registers and other enclosures received with his letter cited above are retained in this office.

By order,
11/12/07
Secretary
11/12/07

No. 70 from the ~~present~~ Sampathegar of Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple

Sampathegar's report No. 80

Palace Controller's order granting permission to the conduct of Jeer Utsavam.

Deposition of Manegars Narasimha Char & Narasingar. (These 4 documents referred to in the Report of Dhamadars No. 58).

Reports of Sampathegar Ranga Char referred to in Review Petition No. 73 (herewith sent in original) addressed to Govt.

Muz. 1]

190

From

To the Offr in Charge of the Palace Estate, Mysore

Dated

Despatched 30.6.08

Received

General No. 5869
No.

Enclosure No. One.

In continuation of this office No. 2851 D/17th Dec 1907 calling for certain information in re the conduct of Jeer Gandhapudi Ubava in the Sri Varaha Swami temple at [illegible] [illegible] the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] Letter No. 506 dated 11th [illegible] [illegible] ~~the~~ certain complaints [illegible]

GPL—3 000--19-9 07

[illegible] Brahmins of Mysore
on the Subject and to
request that the report
called for may be submitted
at an early date

B.O.
25/6/08

Secretary
25/6/08

No. 5870
[illegible]

File No. 17-97

Serial No 1

Diary No. of letter replied to

General and Rev. Secretariat

the 190

Date of issue 2nd Dec 1908

Signed by Mr. A. S. Iyer

No. 342

[illegible]

To

The Officer in charge of the Palace Estates; Mysore

Sir,

I am directed to transfer for disposal the entire File including Petition registered no D 18 from certain Tengale Srivaishnavas of Mysore regarding the dispute relating to the Gandhaadi Utsavam of Jeer Vigraha in the Sri Swetavarahaswamy temple at Mysore, as ~~under orders of Govt. conveyed in Pro. No. 92-96 dt 27-10-1908. The matter is one for disposal by the Palace Depart.~~ Govt. have no control over the institution now

I have etc.

for Secretary.

Cir. under

SUBJECT.

28/11/08

List of enclosures,

Copied by

Examined by

MGCPB—20,000-19-12—04.

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible] D.188 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]
[illegible]

By order

for Secretary

Report of [illegible] Patnam Kasturi [illegible] (Vadagale)
& Kutti Narasimha [illegible]

1 R [illegible] o from the present Parpathegar
of Sri Prasanna Krishna Swamy [illegible]

2) ~~no record in~~ the [illegible]
~~temple or Sri~~ [illegible]

3) In the [illegible] of the late Parpathegars
3 Col. of Prasanna Krishna Swamy temple,
Slips ~~application~~ is made of Gandhi [illegible]
but the authority is not known

4) In the
Kaditha [illegible] of Sri Pra[illegible]
available ~~[illegible]~~ swamy temple from 10th [illegible]
to 23rd Jany. 1897, there are entries to
show that the matter was under correspon[illegible]
since 1895, but the [illegible]
many doubts & [illegible]

In the Account Book of
available ~~Bokkasa~~ Shanbhoga Tirumala [illegible]
~~[illegible]~~ [illegible] in the Las[illegible]
Book shows that there [illegible]
o the subject in the 1898 [illegible]

) two Books it is learnt [illegible]

viz Sosale Dodda Seshaiengar and Stanick Yoganarasimha Achar and the writing therein in regard to this matter bears the signatures of one of them

5) From the Parpathegar's report no 80, not are it is learnt that there are certain entries in the Shah Amal Register of 1898, belonging to the Br. Kris-Swamy temple which show that Surya Bana & other are articles were under orders brought from the Br. Kr. Sw. temple to the Utsavam & were duly returned but no mention of Tridandum (to the [illegible]) is made therein

6) There are references to show that on the application of Tengale Sri Vaishnavas, permission was granted by the late Palace Controller to conduct their geer Gandha Pudi Utsavam in the Sri Sweta Varaha Swamy temple similar to the Utsavam to Vedantachar by Vadagalas. Beyond this fact, the document ~~does not prove~~

Tridandam of Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple on the occasion. It may be presumed that if any documents were forthcoming in regard to Vadagalai Vedantachari's utsavam, some references also have been forthcoming re Jeer utsavam. It is clear from the deposition of manegar Narasimha [illegible] Varadaiengar of 6-11-1905 that the use of Tridandam is against manual. It is also stated there that Gumasthe Kuppaiengar of Sri Prasanna Krishnaswamy temple who was [illegible] on the occasion saw that the Tridandam with Tengale mark had [illegible] was removed. Of these [illegible] manual, the Tengalai [illegible] representations [illegible] made [illegible] Parpathigar, but from [illegible] it may be pr[illegible] [illegible] then

not signed

no manual in regard to the use of

Tridandum..

urged the temple)
petns. to be perused

1) entries in Shah Amal Book of Sri Pr. Krishnaswamy temple of 26-11-1895 re jeer utsavam.

2) Phoot Books of Pr. K. Swamy temple of the years 1895-96-97-98 as showing the articles etc given for use & receiving back.

3) Reports of Parpathegar Rangaswami on this subject.

4) Replies of Parpathegars Rangaswamy, Venkatesiah & Lakshminarasaiah

5) to the office of Dharma [illegible].

In support of their [illegible]

that the Sri Parakala mutt [illegible] jurisdiction over this temple [illegible] [illegible] ~~[illegible]~~ was a person [illegible] The [illegible] documents [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

and also documents ~~has~~ filed with
to H. H. the Maharaja of 15-9-1901

6) Report no 57 D/ 30/12/1895 from
the Pr. Krishna Sw. temple on
no 1516 D/ 22/10/1895
the petition of Yagnam Lakshmi
Narasimha Char which refers to
charges
on his ~~conduct~~

Pal. Ch. D

Kyphiat of Serakkesari Venkataraman
who was [illegible] of Sri Varada [illegible]
[illegible] 1878 [illegible] — which shows that
[illegible] was no [illegible] in the [illegible]
temple in year —

Kyphiat of Yagnam
Lakshmi Narasimha Char
on 15/10/1906